In the Court of Appeals of the State of Alaska

Peter Ballot,

Appellant,

Court of Appeals No. A-12546

Corrected

v.

Order

Motion to Reconsider Single-Judge Order

State of Alaska,

Appellee.

Date of Notice: 5/10/2019

Trial Court Case No. 2KB-09-00215CI

Before Allard, Chief Judge, Harbison, Judge, and Suddock, Senior Judge.*

The Appellant, Peter Ballot, filed a pro se motion asking this Court to dismiss his court-appointed attorney. On April 24, 2019, a single judge issued an order denying Mr. Ballot's motion. Mr. Ballot has now filed what this Court is construing as a motion to reconsider this order.

As explained in the April 24 order, because Mr. Ballot is represented at public expense (by contract through the Office of Public Advocacy), he does not have the right to dismiss appointed counsel in the absence of any showing of cause for that change. *See Mute v. State*, 123 P.3d 1081, 1088 (Alaska App. 2005). Mr. Ballot still has not provided a sufficient showing of cause for dismissing Mr. Weiner. Additionally, in the present case, the briefing has long been completed and Mr. Ballot's appeal is currently under consideration by this Court. In practical terms, this means that Mr.

^{*} Sitting by assignment made under article IV, section 11 of the Alaska Constitution and Alaska Administrative Rule 23(a).

Peter Ballot v State of Alaska - p. 2 File No. A-12546 May 10, 2019

Weiner now has only minimal responsibilities remaining in this case regarding his representation of Mr. Ballot.

For these reasons, the single judge order issued April 24, 2019 is **AFFIRMED**.

Clerk of the Appellate Courts
Kyle Roberts, Deputy Clerk

cc: Court of Appeals Judges

Distribution: Corrected Order distributed on 5/13/2019

Mail:

Weiner, Jason A, OPA - Contract Simel, Nancy R